

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,102	10/06/2003	Teiji Yamamoto	KOM-157INO	4402	
23353 75	590 04/21/2005		EXAMINER		
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC			STORMER, RUSSELL D		
LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		3617	3617	
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/678,102	YAMAMOTO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Russell D. Stormer	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 2, 5, 6/2, 6/5, 7/2, 7/5, 8/7/2, 8/7/5 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4, 7/1, 7/3, 7/4, 8/7/1, 8/7/3/1, 8/7/4/1, 9</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers	,					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1 and 6/1 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Egle et al.

The roller pieces 136 are press-fit to the support shaft. The intermediate portion at 130 is the parting section.

2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6/1, 6/3, and 6/4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dorris.

The engagement of the rollers with the stepped engagement part 24 prevents the rollers from coming off the shaft 26.

The limitation of the assembly being formed by press fitting is a method limitation in a product claim and therefore is given no patentable weight.

With respect to claim 6, the ring 40 is the projection.

3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6/1, 6/3, 6/4, 7/1, 7/3, 7/4, 8/7/1, 8/7/3/1, 8/7/4/1, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoon.

The manner in which the rollers (40 or 500) are assembled on the shaft (10 or 100) (such as by press-fitting) is given no patentable weight in the apparatus claim.

Note the stepped portion s between the rollers as shown in figures 1 and 3, which are formed to be of equal size.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 5, 6/2, 6/5, 7/2, 7/5, 8/7/2, and 8/7/5 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 25, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

At the outset, it should be noted that the "parting section" and the "support shaft" are inferentially claimed, and thus not positively recited. Further, the "parting section" has not been positively defined and thus has no claimed structure. So to argue that a reference does not disclose something being formed at a parting section is not effective in defining over the art.

The phrase "formed integrally at a parting section by press fitting" appears to be contradictory.

The roller pieces 134 and 136 are formed integrally at a parting section (the raised section at 130). The roller pieces are press fit onto the hub. See lines 31-46 of column 8.

With respect to the use of Dorris, the method limitation of "press-fitting" the roller pieces onto the hub is given no patentable weight since the claims are not method claims. Product claims must be found allowable based on the structure of the article, not the manner by which it is made.

Art Unit: 3617

Contrary to Appellant's comments, the office action never states that any of the claims are product-by-process claims.

With respect to the rejection based on Yoon, the limitation of "press-fitting" is given no patentable weight for the same reasons in the rejection over Dorris.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/678,102 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6687. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/15/05

RUSSELL D. STORMER .

PDIMARY FYAMINER